1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 6 AT TACOMA 7 JAMIE ELWARD, CASE NO. C22-5645 BHS 8 Plaintiff, **ORDER** 9 v. 10 TEMPUR SEALY INTERNATIONAL INC, 11 Defendant. 12 13 This matter comes before the Court on the parties' Joint Status Report Regarding 14 Stipulated Protective Order. Dkt. 18. The parties disagree about the definition of 15 "CONFIDENTIAL" MATERIALS that should be included in their stipulated protective 16 order. Defendant proposed language, see Dkt. 18-1 at 2, which Plaintiff believes is 17 "overly inclusive." Plaintiff requests that the Court "direct Defendant to designate a list 18 of documents with specificity and granularity which it seeks to be designated as 19 'confidential.'" Dkt. 18 at 1–2. The parties also jointly request a telephone conference 20 with the Court if the Court deems such a conference necessary to resolve the dispute. *Id.* 21 at 2. 22

The Court views Defendant's proposed language as sufficiently descriptive. To the extent certain documents arise in discovery that Plaintiff believes should not be covered by the protective order, Plaintiff shall request such relief from the Court. If the parties can agree to this Court's proposed remedy, they shall file the protective order as a stipulation. Otherwise, Defendant shall formally move the Court to enter the protective order as written, Plaintiff may respond, and the Court will rule based on that briefing. Dated this 31st day of January, 2023. United States District Judge